

SITUAZIONI CON CARICHI DI LAVORO AD ALTO RISCHIO & PREVISIONE DEGLI INFORTUNI MUSCOLARI NEI GIOCATORI DI FOOTBALL AUSTRALIANO

Marcus J Colby, Brian Dawson, Peter Peeling, Jarryd Heasman, Brent Rogalski, Michael K Drew, Jordan Stares

Traduzione non autorizzata di C. Lezoli

ABSTRACT

Obiettivi: L'obiettivo di questo studio era quello di valutare l'effetto di diversi scenari di carico ad alto rischio (HSR) per la prevenzione degli infortuni muscolari nei giocatori di football Australiano.

Metodi: Per un totale di 3 stagioni, 60 giocatori sono stati monitorati durante le singole sessioni di allenamento utilizzando il metodo RPE e le tecnologie GPS. Il carico di lavoro è stato quantificato in termini di sRPE, distanza totale, distanza sprint e velocità massima. Successivamente sono stati definiti diversi scenari di carico ad alto rischio (HSR) (*alti rapporti ACWR, alti cambi percentuali di settimana in settimana, elevati carichi cronici, valore massimo di carico acuto e di carico cronico*). Valori di HRS cumulativi sono stati calcolati sulle 8 settimane precedenti all'infortunio. I dati relativi alle lesioni muscolari sono stati registrati in maniera sistematica. I modelli di regressione di Poisson (univariati e multivariati) sono stati applicati per determinare i tassi di incidenza delle lesioni (IRR), tenendo in considerazione gli effetti del volume del carico di lavoro pre-season e dell'esperienza di gioco dei singoli calciatori. Le prestazioni del modello predittivo per l'infortunio sono state valutate calcolando l'area sotto la curva (AUC).

Risultati: Dai risultati si evince che un'esposizione moderata (11-12 sessioni) alle velocità di corsa elevate (>85% velocità massima) contribuisce ad un'incidenza di infortuni inferiori rispetto ad esposizioni molto basse (0-8 sessioni) o molto elevate (>15 sessioni) nell'arco di 8 settimane di allenamento. Carichi cronici molto bassi (per tutte le variabili del carico di lavoro) nell'arco di 1 settimana sono stati associati ad un aumento del rischio di lesione, identificando la riduzione nella distanza sprint percorsa come la variabile che predice maggiormente gli infortuni.

Conclusioni: Esposizioni minime agli sforzi ad alta velocità (velocità massima e distanza sprint) sono state associate ad un maggior rischio di lesioni muscolari. Ridotti carichi di lavoro ad alta intensità possono essere correlati ad un rischio maggiore di lesioni muscolari nel football Australiano; il carico di lavoro svolto in pre-campionato e l'esperienza di gioco non hanno influito sull'incidenza degli infortuni.

REPEATED EXPOSURE TO ESTABLISHED HIGH RISK WORKLOAD SCENARIOS IMPROVES NON-CONTACT INJURY PREDICTION IN ELITE AUSTRALIAN FOOTBALLERS

Marcus J Colby, Brian Dawson, Peter Peeling, Jarryd Heasman, Brent Rogalski, Michael K Drew, Jordan Stares

To assess the effect of multiple high-risk scenario (HRS) exposures on non-contact injury prediction in elite Australian footballers. Design: Retrospective cohort study. Methods: Sessional workload data (session-rating of perceived exertion; GPS-derived distance, sprint distance, maximum velocity) from one club (n= 60 players) over 3 seasons were collated; several established HRS were also defined. Accumulated HRS sessional exposures were calculated retrospectively (previous 1-8 weeks). Non-contact injury data was documented. Univariate and multivariate Poisson regression models determined injury incidence rate ratios (IRR) while accounting for moderating effects (pre-season workload volume, playing experience). Model performance was evaluated using receiver operating characteristics (area under curve: AUC). Results: Very low (0-8 sessions: IRR=5.76, 95% CI=1.69-19.66) and very high (>15 sessions: IRR=4.70, 95% CI=1.49-14.87) exposures to >85% of an individual's maximal velocity over the previous 8 weeks were associated with greater injury risk compared to moderate exposures (11-12 sessions), and displayed the best model performance (AUC=0.64). A single session corresponding to a very low chronic load condition over the previous week for all workload variables was associated with increased injury risk, with sprint distance (IRR=3.25, 95% CI=1.95-5.40) providing the most accurate prediction model (AUC=0.63). Conclusions: Minimal exposure to high velocity efforts (maximum speed exposure, sprint volume) was associated with the greatest injury risk. Being under-loaded may be a mediator for non-contact injury in elite Australian football. Pre-season workload and playing experience were not moderators of this effect.

REFERENCES

1. Drew MK, Raysmith BP, Charlton PC. Injuries impair the chance of successful performance by sportspeople: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med* 2017 doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096731
2. Drew MK, Cook J, Finch CF. Sports-related workload and injury risk: simply knowing the risks will not prevent injuries. *Br J Sports Med* 2016 doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2015-095871
3. Colby MJ, Dawson B, Heasman J, et al. Accelerometer and GPS-derived running loads and injury risk in elite Australian footballers. *J Strength Cond Res* 2014;28(8):2244-52. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000000362
4. Colby MJ, Dawson B, Heasman J, et al. Pre-season workload volume and high risk periods for non-contact injury across multiple Australian Football League (AFL) seasons. *J Strength Cond Res* 2016 doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000001669 [Published Online First: 03/10/16]
5. Colby MJ, Dawson B, Peeling P, et al. Multivariate modelling of subjective and objective monitoring data improve the detection of non-contact injury risk in elite Australian footballers. *J Sci Med Sport* 2017 doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2017.05.010> [Published Online First: 24/05/2017]
6. Cross MJ, Williams S, Trewartha G, et al. The influence of in-season training loads on injury risk in professional rugby union. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2016;11(3):350-5. doi: 10.1123/ijssp.2015-0187
7. Duhig S, Shield AJ, Opar D, et al. Effect of high-speed running on hamstring strain injury risk. *Br J Sports Med* 2016;50(24):1536-40. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2015-095679
8. Hulin BT, Gabbett TJ, Blanch P, et al. Spikes in acute workload are associated with increased injury risk in elite cricket fast bowlers. *Br J Sports Med* 2014;48(8):708-12. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2013-092524
9. Hulin BT, Gabbett TJ, Lawson DW, et al. The acute:chronic workload ratio predicts injury: high chronic workload may decrease injury risk in elite rugby league players. *Br J Sports Med* 2016;50(4):231-6. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2015-094817
10. Malone S, Roe M, Doran DA, et al. High chronic training loads and exposure to bouts of maximal velocity running reduce injury risk in elite Gaelic football. *J Sci Med Sport* 2017;20(3):250-54. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2016.08.005
11. Malone S, Roe M, Doran DA, et al. Aerobic fitness and playing experience protect against spikes in workload: The role of the acute:chronic workload ratio on injury risk in elite gaelic football. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2017;12(3):393-401. doi: 10.1123/ijssp.2016-0090
12. Rogalski B, Dawson B, Heasman J, et al. Training and game loads and injury risk in elite Australian footballers. *J Sci Med Sport* 2013;16(6):499-503. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2012.12.004
13. Ruddy JD, Pollard CW, Timmins RG, et al. Running exposure is associated with the risk of hamstring strain injury in elite Australian footballers. *Br J Sports Med* 2016 doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096777 [Published Online First: 24/11/16]
14. Stares J, Dawson B, Peeling P, et al. Identifying high risk loading conditions for in-season injury in elite Australian football players. *J Sci Med Sport* 2017 doi: <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2017.05.012> [Published Online First: 24/05/2017]
15. Windt J, Gabbett TJ, Ferris D, et al. Training load–injury paradox: is greater preseason participation associated with lower in-season injury risk in elite rugby league players? *Br J Sports Med* 2017;51(8):645-50. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-095973
16. Fortington LV, Berry J, Buttifant D, et al. Shorter time to first injury in first year professional football players: A cross-club comparison in the Australian Football League. *J Sci Med Sport* 2016;19(1):18-23. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2014.12.008
17. Finch CF, Cook J, Kunstler BE, et al. Subsequent injuries are more common than injury recurrences. *Am J Sports Med* 2017 doi: 10.1177/0363546517691943 [Published Online First: 01/03/17]
18. Gabbett HT, Windt J, Gabbett TJ. Cost-benefit analysis underlies training decisions in elite sport. *Br J Sports Med* 2016 doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096079
19. Mooney M, Charlton PC, Soltanzadeh S, et al. Who ‘owns’ the injury or illness? Who ‘owns’ performance? Applying systems thinking to integrate health and performance in elite sport. *Br J Sports Med* 2017 [Published Online First: 22/03/17]
20. Dijkstra HP, Pollock N, Chakraverty R, et al. Return to play in elite sport: a shared decision-making process. *Br J Sports Med* 2017;51(5):419-20. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096209
21. McCall A, Fanchini M, Coutts AJ. Prediction: The modern day sports science/medicine ‘Quest for the holy grail’. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2017 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijssp.2017-0137> [Published Online First: 24/04/17]
22. Nielsen RO, Bertelsen ML, Verhagen E, et al. When is a study result important for athletes, clinicians and team coaches/ staff? *Br J Sports Med* 2017 doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2017-097759 [Published Online First: 16/05/17]
23. Timpka T, Jacobsson J, Bickenbach J, et al. What is a sports injury? *Sports Med* 2014;44(4):423-8. doi: 10.1007/s40279-014-0143-4
24. Timpka T, Jacobsson J, Ekberg J, et al. Meta-narrative analysis of sports injury reporting practices based on the Injury Definitions Concept Framework (IDCF): A review of consensus statements and epidemiological studies in athletics (track and field). *J Sci Med Sport* 2015;18(6):643-50. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2014.11.393
25. Lolli L, Batterham AM, Hawkins R, et al. Mathematical coupling causes spurious correlation within the conventional acute-to-chronic workload ratio calculations. *Br J Sports Med* 2017 doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2017-098110
26. Zou G. A modified poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary data. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004;159(7):702-6.
27. Windt J, Zumbo BD, Sporer B, et al. Why do workload spikes cause injuries, and which athletes are at higher risk? Mediators and moderators in workload–injury investigations. *Br J Sports Med* 2017 doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-097255 [Published Online First: 8/03/17]
28. DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. *Biometrics* 1988;44(3):837-45.
29. Jacobsson J, Timpka T. Classification of prevention in sports medicine and epidemiology. *Sports Med* 2015;45(11):1483